

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,136	08/16/2005	Aidan Charles Pennington	GB9-2002-0038-US1	3998	
49056 75590 11/10/2008 LIEBERMAN & BRANDSDORFER, LLC 802 STILL CREEK LANE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			DEWS, BROOKE J		
GAITHERSBI	JRG, MD 20878		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2181		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/522 136 PENNINGTON, AIDAN CHARLES Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Brooke J. Dews 2181 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 September 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 19-25 and 37-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19-25 and 37-39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 May 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/522,136 Page 2

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Reexamination/Response to Amendment

In light of the request for re-examination and the amendment filed on 09/02/2008, the
application is still pending. Claims 11-18, 26-36, and 40 have been cancelled. Upon further
consideration of the amended claims, a rejection is made in view of George Black et al. (US
Patent 5878056), Clark Lubbers et al. (US Publication 2003/0188233), and Applicant's Admitted
Prior Art (US Publication 20060155894).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 8 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 19, 20, 24, 25, 37, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over George Black et al. (US Patent 5878056), hereafter Black, in view of Clark Lubbers et al. (US Publication 2003/0188233), hereafter Lubbers.

Regarding claims 19 and 37 Black discloses a method for communicating in a computer system comprising:

managing a queue (message queue; Column 8 lines 33-37) in a storage area network of said computer system supporting an asynchronous messaging (asynchronous communication) and queuing system; (Column 9 line 13-30)

Application/Control Number: 10/522,136

Art Unit: 2181

receiving a message request at said storage area network from a second queue manager (via local queue manager) local to a second server; (Column 8 lines 51-55)

passing said received message request to a storage area network controller local to a first server of said storage area network (Figure 2 and 3);

and maintaining message data on said queue on storage media (main memory or disk storage; Column 9 lines 31-35) in said storage area network, wherein said controller includes means to control a message selected from a group consisting of: non-persistent (transient) and persistent (permanent). (Column 16 lines 11-25)

Though Black reveals a communication network, Black, however, does not explicitly disclose the communication network to be a storage area network.

Lubbers discloses said centralized storage area network in a queuing management environment. (Figure 1; Paragraph [0031 and 0085])

Lubbers and Black are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor/problem involving a system programmed for organization or manipulation of data, to respond to an unexpected hardware or software failure.

Though Black does not explicitly reveal the type of network used for controlling (via queue manager) queues, Lubbers discloses a centralized authority to be involved in executing the persistent reservation. The instructions of which are embodied in software that executes on an array controller in a storage area network (SAN) (Paragraph [0090]). Therefore it would have been obvious to use the SAN of Lubbers in the motivation behind such a combination being to provide multiple replication, load balancing, and failover to support disaster tolerance without limitations imposed by designating rigid roles for the system components. (Paragraph [0011 and 00251)

Claim 20 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 19, and further the modified Black discloses further comprising supporting simultaneous access to said central queue (LUN) by a third queue manager local to a third server and said second queue manager (via two host). (Paragraph [0009] of Lubbers)

Claim 24 and 38 are rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 19 and 37, and further the modified Black discloses the method wherein said transaction message control means

Application/Control Number: 10/522,136

Art Unit: 2181

(via sending/receiving MCA; Figure 5) utilizes a syncpoint coordinator (via syncpoint-manager-controlled unit 330 and 360). (Column 12 line 9-11, 25-27, 42-46; Figure 5)

Claim 25 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 19, and further the modified Black discloses wherein the step of managing a central queue (destination queue 170/170'and transmission queue 160/160') in a network includes preserving data integrity (reliable storage of queued messages). (Column 9 line 27-30)

3. Claim 21-23 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over George Black et al. (US Patent 5878056), hereafter Black, in view of Clark Lubbers et al. (US Publication 2003/0188233), hereafter Lubbers as applied to claims 19, 20, 24, 25, 37, and 38 above, and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (US Publication 20060155894), hereafter AAPA.

Claim 21 and 39 are rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 19 and 37, and further the modified Black discloses the method further wherein the step of managing a central queue (destination queue 170/170'and transmission queue 160/160') in a network includes authorizing to a call request from said queue manager (message queue manager 130/130'). (Column 8 line 51-64)

The modified Black, however, does not explicitly disclose the system comprising a connection handle.

However, AAPA teaches, in paragraph [0004] and [0005], that it is well within the level of skill in the art to provide a "connection handle" in an asynchronous messaging and queuing system.

AAPA discloses the system comprising a connection handle. (Paragraph [0005])

Application/Control Number: 10/522,136

Art Unit: 2181

Claim 22 are rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 21, and further the further modified Black discloses the method further comprising tracking a quantity of authorized connection handles (the process responds success thru "retries"; Column 14 line 48-54) for said central queue (destination queue 170/170'and transmission queue 160/160'). (Claim 4 of Black)

Claim 23 and 30 are rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 21 and 28, and further AAPA discloses the method wherein the step of managing a central queue in a storage area network includes dispensing an object handle by said queue manager for performance of a service to an object. (Paragraph 10005)

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments are summarized as the following:
- A. The prior art of Black, Lubbers, and AAPA fails to teach a storage area network (SAN) with a centralized queue. The centralized queue of Applicant provides control and management of message at the network level and eliminates issues associated with server failure and loss of any messages stored thereon at the time of failure.

In response to applicant's argument, A, examiner notes the combination of Black (including Lubbers) discloses the claimed features of the amended claims. (See above rejection.) Also applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the centralized queue providing control and management of message at the network level and eliminates issues associated with server failure and loss of any messages stored thereon at the time of failure) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ24 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Art Unit: 2181

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Paul E. Reilly (US Patent 6401150) and Robert J. Marinelli et al. (US Patent 7403987) for either centralized queue or storage area network.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brooke J. Dews whose telephone number is 571-270-1013. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2181

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/B. J. D./11/07/2008

Examiner, Art Unit 2181

/Alford W. Kindred/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2181